	ED STATES DISTRICT CO FERN DISTRICT OF PENN	
	1sa A. Smith-G	
	<i>V</i>	C-A#18-3675
(In	the space above enter the full name(s) o	of the plaintiff(s)) 5 ECOND
Ci	ty of Philad	AMENDED COMPLAINT
Tu	rning Points for	Chi/Sten Cuaq Jury Trial: PYes No
		(check one)
	enhania Fralish	(Office (Care))
-Ka	kphanie English (Hin Sullivan (Official Capacity)
		FILED
		SEP 2 5 2018
		KATE BARKMAN, Clerk By Deo. Clerk
cannot j please w addition listed in	space above enter the full name(s) of the fit the names of all of the defendants in the vite "see attached" in the space above that sheet of paper with the full list of name the above caption must be identical to the didresses should not be included here.)	he space provided, and attach an nes The names
I.	Parties in this complaint:	
A.		one number. If you are presently in custody, include your identification of your current place of confinement. Do the same for any additional I sheets of paper as necessary.
Plaintif	f Name	Lisa A. Smith-Goodman
	Street Address	7334 Wood bine Ave
	County, City	Miladelphia
	State & Zip Code	- PA 1915]
	Telephone Number	215-749-2289

В.	agency, an organization, a	hould state the full name of the defendants, even if that defendant is a government corporation, or an individual. Include the address where each defendant can be edefendant(s) listed below are identical to those contained in the above caption.
Defenda	nt No. 1	Name_ City of Philadelphia
		Street Address 1515 Arch St
		County, City
		State & Zip Code
Defenda	nt No. 2	Name Turning Points for Children
		Street Address 415 S. 15th ST
		County, City The lade long, PH Philade long
		State & Zip Code PA 19 146
Defendant No. 3		Name Stephanie English Cofficial Capacité
		Street Address 55 Arch St. 15
		County, City Phi la Le phia Phi lab/phia
		State & Zip Code
Defendant No. 4		Name Kattin Sullivan Cofficial Concil
		Street Address 3901 Market & Turning Points
		County, City Philodelphia Philadelphia
		State & Zip Code PH 19104
п.	Basis for Jurisdiction:	
involving case invo 1332, a o	g a federal question and cas plying the United States Co	jurisdiction. Only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: cases sees involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, a institution or federal laws or treaties is a federal question case. Under 28 U.S.C. § the state sues a citizen of another state and the amount in damages is more than case.
A.	What is the basis for feder Federal Questions	al court jurisdiction? (check all that apply) Q Diversity of Citizenship
В.	If the basis for jurisdiction issue?	is Federal Question, what federal Constitutional, statutory or treaty right is at

Rev 10/2009 - 2 -

	C. If the basis for jurisdiction is Diversity of Citizenship, what is the state of citizenship of	
		Plaintiff(s) state(s) of citizenship
		Defendant(s) state(s) of citizenship
	m.	Statement of Claim:
	compla include cite an	is briefly as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how <u>each</u> of the defendants named in the caption of this aint is involved in this action, along with the dates and locations of all relevant events. You may wish to e further details such as the names of other persons involved in the events giving rise to your claims. Do not y cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a te paragraph. Attach additional sheets of paper as necessary
	A.	Where did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?
	В.	What date and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?
		See Attacked
What happened	C.	Facts: See Attacked
to you?		
	-	
Who ɗid		
what?		See Attacked
	-	
Was anyone else		See Attached
involved?		
		
Who else saw what happened?		15a Visco, Esq. Attorney for Lysa Smith Goodman

īv.	Injuries:
lf you	sustained injuries related to the events alleged above, describe them and state what medical treatment, if any,
you re	quired and received.
EI	notional Distress, beforestion of Charefer.
an	d physical, as/ments of sporeased blood
PA	essure and blood sugar levels due to stres
/. State v	Relief: what you want the Court to do for you and the amount of monetary compensation, if any, you are seeking, and
he ba	der named Defendants to discontine violation
4 _W	Pantiff's constitutional right to due process when 14th and 4th Amendments.
P	aintiff seeks puniture damages in the amount

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Signed this 24 day of September, 2018.
Signature of Plaintiff Signature of Plaintiff Mailing Address 7334 Wend bine Ave fluid ph 1915
Telephone Number
Note: All plaintiffs named in the caption of the complaint must date and sign the complaint. Prisoners must also provide their inmate numbers, present place of confinement, and address.
For Prisoners:
I declare under penalty of perjury that on this day of, 20, I am delivering this complaint to prison authorities to be mailed to the Clerk's Office of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
Signature of Plaintiff:

II. Basis for Jurisdiction

B. Plaintiff (Smith-Goodman) asserts that at question issue is whether there have been violations and deprivation of her rights to due process pursuant to the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution Equal Protection Clause and violations of rights under the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 28 U.S.C. § 1343 (a)(1) (2) (3) (4) under the color of law.

III. Statement of Claim:

• Where did the events giving rise to your claims occur?

Court of Common Pleas

Philadelphia Family Court

1501 Arch St

Philadelphia PA 191

 What date and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?

The events took place on 08/07/2018 at approximately 9:30 a.m.

Facts:

- 1. Smith-Goodman asserts that the policies of the City of Philadelphia, Department of Human Services to engage in a reunification process or policy with biological parents that disregards the rights of those who have in loco parentis or third party standing is a violation of right to due process and equal protection that is provided to all under the US Constitution XIV amend.
- 2. On 02/06/2018, the date of Petition for Dependency Hearing, Smith-Goodman in

addition to acting loco parentis, also had court ordered shared and legal physical custody of ZKM.

- 3. Smith-Goodman asserts that subsequent proceedings with the intention to reunite ZKM with biological mother held on 05/08/2018 and 08/07/2018 were held with the intent for reunification with biological mother, a policy and custom of the Department of Human Services that circumvents Smith-Goodman's right to due process to fully litigate any custody matter relevant to ZKM, a violation of IHHM amend. Of U.S constitution.
- 4. Smith-Goodman asserts that as the Philadelphia Family Court did not find any dependency issues related to Smith-Goodman, that the hearing on August 7, 2018 was in fact a reunification plan that included a change of custody, a policy of the City of Philadelphia, Department of Human Services that did not afford Smith-Goodman the right to due process protection under the US Constitution IV and XIV amendments.
- 5. The doctrine of in loco parentis begins with parental agreement. Child ZKM XX-XX-2008, was left in the custody of Smith-Goodman for the first year of her life by agreement/testimony in Philadelphia Family Court by biological mother. Smith-Goodman filed for,and was awarded legal custody in March 2010, after learning that biological mother was being investigated for drug use while pregnant with subsequent birth of child with drugs in her system at birth.
- 6. On 02/06/2018, 05/08/2018, and 08/07/2018, Defendant English and Defendant Sullivan acting under the color of law initiated the conspiracy to violate Plaintiff Smith-Goodman's constitutional rights by engaging in a Prehearing Conference and Review Hearings requesting removal of ZKM from Smith-Goodman's custody based on a policy favoring a Department of Human Services policy of biological reunification rather than any findings of abuse or neglect creating imminent danger that would rise to removal of a child under Department of Human Services guidelines.
- 7. ZKM was removed from Plaintiff Smith-Goodman's custody on 08/07/2018. Smith-Goodman asserts that she was denied the right to due process and equal protection under U.S. Constitution, amend XIV to fully litigate this removal, and in fact was advised by

the Court on 05/08/2018, that even in the absence of dependency related issues in a "Dependency Proceeding", relevant to Plaintiff Smith-Goodman, that policy and intent of the Department of Human Services is biological reunification. A policy under the color of law that considers the rights of one group while excluding the rights of another appears to be unconstitutional. The stated mission of the City of Philadelphia, Department of Human Services is to provide and promote safety and permanency to children and youth at risk of abuse, neglect and deliquency.

8. Smith-Goodman respectfully asserts the visit initiated by English and each subsequent visit by Defendent Sullivan which included mental health and substance abuse histories were mandatory and not voluntary, and are Department of Human Services policies that have violated Plaintiff Smith-Goodman as an in loco parentis parent with legal custody and should have the right to pursue a Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution child-seizure claim on ZKM's behalf.